1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney	
2 3	JOANNE HÖEPER, State Bar #114961 Chief Trial Deputy SCOTT D. WIENER, State Bar #189266	
4	Deputy City Attorney Fox Plaza	
5	1390 Market Street, 6 th Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408	
6	Telephone: (415) 554-4283 Facsimile: (415) 554-3837	
7	Attorneys for Defendants	
8	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ALEXIS BAUTISTA, an individual,	Case No. C07-5434 EMC
12	Plaintiff,	OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME
13	vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL
14	FRANCISCO, SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT, SAN	PURSUANT TO MAY 2, 2008, COURT ORDER
15	FRANCISCO POLICE OFFICER WILLIAMS, SAN FRANCISCO POLICE	
16	SERGEANT ESPINOZA, SAN FRANCISCO POLICE OFFICER LUM,	
17	DOES 1 to 20, Inclusive,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1

OPPOSITION Bautista v. CCSF, et al. Defendants oppose Plaintiff's motion to enlarge time. Defendants further request that the Court enforce its May 2, 2008, order and dismiss Plaintiff's lawsuit for failure to prosecute.

On May 2, 2008, the Court issued an order regarding Defendants' motion to compel. Defendants had filed that motion based on Plaintiff's failure to provide initial disclosures or to respond to discovery. In its order, the Court ordered Plaintiff either to oppose the motion by May 22, 2008, or to provide initial disclosures and discovery responses by that day. The Court then indicated that failure to comply with the deadline could result in dismissal of the case. *See* Declaration of Scott Wiener, dated June 11, 2008, Ex. A. To date, Plaintiff has neither opposed the motion to compel nor provided initial disclosures and discovery responses. *See* Wiener Decl. at ¶ 2.

Plaintiff has now taken the time to prepare a motion to enlarge her time by four months. She has not, however, taken the time to provide initial disclosures or discovery responses. The Court should deny Plaintiff's motion and should dismiss the lawsuit pursuant to the May 2 order. If the Court does not dismiss the lawsuit, the Court should order Plaintiff to provide responses and disclosures immediately.

If the Court grants Plaintiff's requested extension, the Court will need to modify the case management order. Fact discovery is currently set to close on September 30. Yet, Plaintiff has prevented Defendants from obtaining discovery from her. In addition to her failure to provide initial disclosures or discovery responses, Plaintiff has repeatedly failed to appear for her deposition. *See* Wiener Decl. at ¶ 3.

Defendants request that the Court deny Plaintiff's motion and dismiss this lawsuit.

Dated: June 11, 2008

DENNIS J. HERRERA City Attorney JOANNE HOEPER Chief Trial Deputy SCOTT D. WIENER Deputy City Attorney

-/s/- Scott D. Wiener

By:
SCOTT D. WIENER
Attorneys for Defendants

2728

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Declaration of Scott Wiener

1 ||

I, Scott Wiener, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a Deputy City Attorney in the Office of the San Francisco City Attorney, counsel of record to Defendants in this lawsuit. I have personal knowledge of the contents of this declaration, and I could and would testify competently thereto if called upon to do so.
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the Court's May 2, 2008, order. Defendants request that the Court take judicial notice of the order. To date, Plaintiff has not filed an opposition to Defendants' motion to compel. Nor has Plaintiff provided initial disclosures or responded to discovery.
- 3. Plaintiff canceled her deposition once and failed to appear for deposition the second time that Defendants noticed it.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 11, 2008, at San Francisco, California.

-/s/- Scott D. Wiener

Scott Wiener

BAUTISTA V. CCSF, ET AL. – US DISTRICT COURT NO. C07-5434 CW PROOF OF SERVICE

I, HELEN LAU, declare as follows:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action. I am employed at the City Attorney's Office of San Francisco, Fox Plaza Building, 1390 Market Street, Sixth Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102.

On June 12, 2008, I served the attached:

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME

REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO MAY 2, 2008, COURT ORDER

on the interested parties in said action, by placing a true copy thereof in sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows:

Alexis Bautista 1409 Saint Kitts Lane Foster City, CA 94404 Telephone: (831) 703-4146 (650) 518-1685 Cell Phone: (650) 357-0119 Facsimile:

Plaintiff In Pro Per

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and served the named document in the manner indicated below:

Executed June 12, 2008, at San Francisco, California.

	BY UNITED STATES MAIL : Following ordinary business practices, I sealed true and correct copies of the above documents in addressed envelope(s) and placed them at my workplace for collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service. I am readily familiar with the practices of the San Francisco City Attorney's Office for collecting and processing mail. In the ordinary course of business, the sealed envelope(s) that I placed for collection would be deposited, postage prepaid, with the United States Postal Service that same day.
	BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I sealed true and correct copies of the above documents in addressed envelope(s) and caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand at the above locations by a professional messenger service. A declaration from the messenger who made the delivery is attached or will be filed separately with the court.
	BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY : I sealed true and correct copies of the above documents in addressed envelope(s) and placed them at my workplace for collection and delivery by overnight courier service. I am readily familiar with the practices of the San Francisco City Attorney's Office for sending overnight deliveries. In the ordinary course of business, the sealed envelope(s) that I placed for collection would be collected by a courier the same day.
	BY FACSIMILE: Based on a written agreement of the parties to accept service by fax, I transmitted true and correct copies of the above document(s) via a facsimile machine at telephone number (415) 554-3837 to the persons and the fax numbers listed above. The fax transmission was reported as complete and without error. The transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine, and a copy of the transmission report is attached or will be filed separately with the court.
is true	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing and correct.

-/s/-

Helen Lau

HELEN LAU

OPPOSITION